

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/475,385 | 12/30/1999 | RANJAN GHOSAL | 3600-011-01 | 6269 |
| 75 | 590 07/24/2003 | 71 | | 71 |
| MARTHA ANN FINNEGAN ESQ | | | EXAMINER | |
| CABOT CORP | DROAD | | LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M | |
| BILLERICA, M | 1A U1821 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1724 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | V E |
|---|--|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 4 |
| Advisory Action | 09/475,385 | GHOSAL ET AL. | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | / |
| | Frank M. Lawrence | 1724 | <u> </u> |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper re | cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | | | · · |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office actions or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | s Brief must be filed within the p | period set forth in of the appeal. | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | 7 1 | |
| (a) M they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| (see NOTF helow): | • |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | | (000 110 12 801011); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | · · | terially reducing or s | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ms. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | , | • |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed | d amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been cons | sidered but does NC | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel | o) will be entered ow or appended. | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | * | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 51. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-25,39-46 and 48-50</u> . | | | * • |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | proved by the Exam | niner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Frank Law | rull 23-03 |
| | | | |

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724 Continuation She t (PTO-303) . 09/475,385

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation that the "modified carbonaceous material is not a gel composition" is considered to be a new issue that has not been previously considered before the mailing of the final rejection because a gel composition was never excluded by the claim limitations. The same limitation is also considered to be new matter because it is not supported by the original disclosure.